



Joliet Twp HS District 204

District Report Card
2009

Joliet Township High School
District 204

Dr. Paul Swanstrom, Superintendent
300 Caterpillar Drive
Joliet, Illinois 60436
Phone: (815) 727-6970
FAX: (815) 727-1277

Central Campus

John Randich, Principal
201 East Jefferson Street
Joliet, Illinois 60432
Phone: (815) 727-6740
FAX: (815) 727-6824

West Campus

Teresa Gibson, Principal
401 North Larkin Avenue
Joliet, Illinois 60435
Phone: (815) 727-6940
FAX: (815) 744-3070

Alternate School

Karen Rebhan-Csuk, Principal
110 North Collins Street
Joliet, Illinois 60432
Phone: (815) 727-6810
FAX: (815) 727-6996

**JOLIET TOWNSHIP HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT 204**

2009 - 2010

Board of Education

Chet June, President
Arlene Albert, Vice President
Earl Petersen, Secretary
Don Fisher
Jeff Pierson
Craig Spiers
Thomas J. Streitz

District Administration

Dr. Paul Swanstrom, Superintendent
Cheryl McCarthy, Assistant Superintendent for Educational Services
Richard Pagliaro, Assistant Superintendent for Business and Personnel
George Muentnich, Director of Buildings and Grounds
Deanna Woodard, Director of Career and Technical Education
Kristine Schlismann, Director of Community Relations
Karen Harkin, Director of Computer Services
Mary Balsie, Director of Curriculum
Alberto Filippini, Director of Curriculum
Karla Guseman, Director of Curriculum
Lynn Vaughn, Director of Curriculum
Edna Brass, Director of Special Services
Christopher Olson, Director of Student Activities and Athletics
David Gray, Director of Support Services

WEST CAMPUS

Teresa Gibson
Jeff Clinton, Shad Hallihan
Don Walden
Scott Laken, Matt Narducci
Martha Rosemon, Phil Staley,
Greg Thompson

CENTRAL CAMPUS

John Randich
Mary Gaydos, Brian Shaw
Larry Evert
Mary T. Carter, Eduardo Contreras
Kelly Manning-Smith, John
Randich Jr., John Rende

Principals
Assistant Principals
Building and Grounds
Deans

Counselors

Christine Austin, Albert Brass, Jr.
Sandra Fredrickson, Christine Lipke,
Alan Mart, Melanie Palmer,
Roger Plechaty, Kathleen Rinehart

Becky Bafia, Jennifer Lemberg,
Natalie O'Connell, Kristine Papa
Jennifer Rea, Doug Schmuldt,
Stacie Sevcik, Ivan Soto

Coordinators:

Pupil Personnel Services
Special Education
Academy Coordinator
Academy Coordinator
Academy Coordinator
Academy Coordinator
Activities and Athletics Director

Jo Wooten
Erika Rock
Maureen Pulaski
Terri Schrishuhn
Melissa Stapleton
Karen Paul
Steven Millsaps

Jenine Wright
Nancy Waesco
Robin English
Mike Lutz
Lynn Benson
Angel Hauert
Steven Locke

ALTERNATE SCHOOL

Director
Dean/Supervisor
Counselor
Social Worker
Social Worker
Psychologist

Karen Rebhan-Csuk
Lynn Plarski
Sandra Campbell
Constance Joubert
Kate Lopez-Gilmore

DISTRICT

Carolyn Shinault
Tara McNeal

JOLIET TOWNSHIP HIGH SCHOOL

Joliet, Illinois

Mission Statement

The mission of Joliet Township High School, a diverse and unified learning community of choice, is to empower every student to acquire and apply the attributes to compete and contribute positively in our community and global society by providing a rigorous, relevant, and innovative education in a safe, supportive, academy environment through a quality, caring and dedicated staff working in partnership with family and community.

Belief Statement

We believe that...

- Every human being possesses inherent worth.
- Individuals are responsible for their own actions.
- Diversity strengthens and enriches society.
- Life-long learning is necessary to thrive in a continuously changing world.
- People learn at different rates, in different ways, and in a variety of settings.
- High expectations positively influence performance.
- Motivation, determination, and hard work strengthen the ability of an individual to reach potential.
- The family environment has a strong influence on the development of each of its members.
- An educated public sustains our democracy.
- All people can learn.
- Education is a shared responsibility among students, family, staff, and the community.
- Honesty, integrity and respect are essential in building mutual trust.
- A safe environment is essential for every individual.
- High quality schools are essential to the quality of life for the whole community.

Strategic Plan

1. We will develop and implement innovative plans to motivate and engage students and their families in achieving our strategic objectives.
2. We will develop an effective communication and marketing plan to recognize achievement and instill pride and support throughout our school and community.
3. We will identify, model, reinforce, and measure the character attributes needed to compete and contribute as respectful, responsible, and productive citizens.
4. We will complete the development of the Career Academy Program and ensure every student develops and implements a meaningful Individual Career Plan (ICP) in partnership with family, community, and staff.
5. In collaboration with all sender districts, we will develop and implement a cohesive, rigorous plan to ensure academic and behavioral success of all students.

Joliet Twp HSD 204

Joliet, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS DISTRICT REPORT CARD

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
District	33.9	27.8	34.7	1.0	0.1	2.4	57.7	3.6	3.7	1.6	9.3	92.9	5,375
State	53.3	19.1	20.8	4.1	0.2	2.5	42.9	8.0	3.5	3.7	13.5	93.7	2,070,125

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
District	100.0		21.9	12.9	148.2
State	96.7		18.0	13.3	201.8

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
District										19.9
State										19.2

TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)



	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	87.1	6.2	5.3	1.5	0.0	33.7	66.3	341
State	85.1	8.3	5.0	1.4	0.2	22.9	77.1	133,017

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)

		Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
District:	All Schools	11.1	37.3	61.2	0.3	0.0
	High Poverty Schools	12.1	37.8	60.5	0.6	0.0
	Low Poverty Schools					
State:	All Schools	12.5	44.1	55.8	0.6	1.2
	High Poverty Schools	12.2	45.1	54.6	1.1	4.7
	Low Poverty Schools	12.2	36.8	63.1	0.3	0.1

The No Child Left Behind Act requires that information for certain data elements be disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools. Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state. Disaggregated data are reported only if at least one school in your district falls within the high-poverty quarter and at least one school within the low-poverty quarter.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES




REVENUE BY SOURCE 2007-08			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$60,038,677	73.1	58.7
Other Local Funding	\$6,121,044	7.5	6.3
General State Aid	\$2,556,718	3.1	18.6
Other State Funding	\$6,972,753	8.5	9.0
Federal Funding	\$6,465,442	7.9	7.4
TOTAL	\$82,154,634		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2007-08			
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$54,470,835	55.6	71.5
Operations & Maintenance	\$8,748,489	8.9	8.6
Transportation	\$4,818,867	4.9	3.9
Bond and Interest	\$6,572,857	6.7	6.3
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$2,207,486	2.3	1.8
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$1,383,252	1.4	0.9
Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$19,809,467	20.2	6.8
TOTAL	\$98,011,253		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2006 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2006 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2007-08 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2007-08 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$492,925	2.02	\$7,412	\$13,345
State	**	**	\$6,103	\$10,417

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.
Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.
Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.
Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.
Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE													
	Gender			Race / Ethnicity						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	76.7	70.9	82.5	89.0	71.6	68.3	91.7		66.7	61.2		67.0	81.5
State	87.1	84.5	89.7	92.3	76.7	76.8	94.0		88.9	63.1		78.1	76.6

2007 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (NAEP)

NAEP is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education and administered to students in grade 4, 8, and 12. Only grade 4 and 8 results are required to be reported.

Achievement levels reflect what students should know and be able to do. Based on recommendations from policymakers, educators, and members of the general public, the Governing Board for NAEP sets specific achievement levels for each subject area and grade. To provide a context for interpreting student performance, NAEP results are reported as percentages of students performing below the *Basic* level, at or above the *Basic* and *Proficient* levels, and at the *Advanced* level.

Basic denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at a given grade.

Proficient represents solid academic performance. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter.

Advanced represents superior performance.

The four achievement levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) are reported as level 1 through level 4, respectively. Please note that only state results are reported.

Grade 4

Grade 4 - All

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	35.0	32.9	24.2	8.0	21.4	42.3	30.7	5.6

Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	23.3	34.3	30.9	11.5	8.9	40.8	42.1	8.1
Black	56.3	29.5	12.5	1.7	46.0	44.6	9.0	0.4
Hispanic	50.1	32.2	14.7	3.0	35.8	45.2	17.6	1.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	13.1	33.0	37.5	16.4	5.1	32.4	45.0	17.5
Native American								

Grade 4 - Limited-English-Proficient

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	76.6	20.0	3.1	0.3	49.8	41.5	7.9	0.8

Grade 4 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	63.2	22.5	10.5	3.8	41.4	36.3	17.9	4.4

Grade 4 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	52.4	31.6	13.5	2.4	36.2	46.9	16.1	0.8

Grade 4 - NAEP Participation Rates

	Reading	Mathematics
Limited English Proficient	72.4	84.2
Students with Disabilities	64.9	76.9

Grade 8**Grade 8 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	25.1	45.1	27.5	2.3	29.7	39.5	23.8	7.0

Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	17.2	44.6	34.9	3.3	18.5	40.8	31.3	9.4
Black	45.7	44.4	9.7	0.2	59.3	34.0	6.3	0.4
Hispanic	35.9	48.6	15.2	0.4	44.9	42.3	11.8	1.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	13.1	40.9	41.6	4.4	12.8	32.0	32.1	23.0
Native American								

Grade 8 - Limited-English-Proficient

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	75.1	22.3	2.6	0.0	56.3	31.6	9.1	3.0

Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	64.6	27.0	7.4	0.9	67.7	25.3	6.8	0.2

Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	39.2	46.0	14.2	0.6	48.7	38.4	11.3	1.6




Grade 8 - NAEP Participation Rates

	Reading	Mathematics
Limited English Proficient	64.3	75.9
Students with Disabilities	71.0	64.9

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your district and the state. They represent your district's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.


The 2007-08 school year was the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students took the ISAT or PSAE (with accommodations) instead of the IMAGE test. Therefore, any comparisons with prior year's achievement levels for LEP students should be made with appropriate caution.




IAA scores in the Progressing and Attaining performance levels count the same, respectively, as scores on other state assessments that meet or exceed Standards.

PSAE PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.



Number of students in this District with PSAE scores in 2009: 1,029

PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8, and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	*Enrollment	1,080	503	577	375	306	371	11	1	16	41	0	173	571
	Reading	1.1	2.0	0.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.0		0.0	0.0		3.5	1.1
		Mathematics	1.1	2.0	0.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.0		0.0	0.0		3.5
State	*Enrollment	1,073,392	548,452	524,651	574,717	203,366	215,934	43,962	1,676	32,799	72,638	258	152,593	473,876
	Reading	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.4
		Mathematics	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.4

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	*Enrollment	1,080	503	577	375	306	371	11	1	16	41	0	173	571
	Science	1.1	2.0	0.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.0		0.0	0.0		3.5	1.1
State	*Enrollment	448,699	228,285	220,300	248,119	82,562	85,745	18,385	751	12,734	25,035	120	62,799	186,799
	Science	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.8

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 11**Grade 11 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District	14.9	43.0	37.6	4.5	15.8	52.1	30.3	1.9	14.4	51.7	30.7	3.1
State	8.5	34.6	45.0	11.9	11.0	37.4	42.2	9.4	8.9	40.7	40.3	10.2

Grade 11 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	District	17.9	41.4	36.2	4.5	17.9	47.3	32.0	2.8	16.2	45.2	33.9	4.7
	State	10.6	34.8	42.8	11.8	11.2	34.5	42.7	11.6	9.2	36.8	40.8	13.2
Female	District	12.4	44.3	38.7	4.5	14.1	56.0	28.8	1.1	12.9	57.2	28.1	1.8
	State	6.3	34.5	47.1	12.1	10.8	40.2	41.8	7.3	8.5	44.4	39.8	7.2

Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	District	6.1	30.6	53.6	9.7	6.9	40.0	48.3	4.7	5.5	38.2	48.5	7.8
	State	5.0	26.5	52.5	15.9	5.9	30.6	51.0	12.4	4.5	32.1	49.5	13.9
Black	District	22.6	50.2	26.9	0.4	26.5	57.7	15.8	0.0	24.6	58.6	16.8	0.0
	State	16.9	55.1	26.0	2.0	26.9	54.5	18.0	0.6	21.3	61.4	16.5	0.7
Hispanic	District	18.1	50.6	29.4	1.9	17.2	60.0	22.5	0.3	16.2	60.7	22.3	0.8
	State	14.7	48.9	32.9	3.6	17.1	51.3	29.7	1.9	15.1	57.3	25.6	2.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	District	9.1	27.3	54.5	9.1	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0
	State	5.0	26.3	48.7	20.0	3.7	20.5	50.1	25.7	3.9	28.4	47.1	20.6
Native American	District												
	State	6.8	32.7	50.2	10.4	9.6	42.6	39.4	8.4	8.0	39.4	42.6	10.0
Multiracial/Ethnic	District	7.7	38.5	38.5	15.4	0.0	53.8	38.5	7.7	0.0	46.2	46.2	7.7
	State	6.3	32.5	47.6	13.6	9.6	38.5	43.5	8.5	7.4	39.8	43.2	9.6

Grade 11 - Limited-English-Proficient

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District	53.7	41.5	4.9	0.0	39.0	56.1	4.9	0.0	46.3	51.2	2.4	0.0
State	45.3	46.6	7.9	0.2	37.6	44.6	15.6	2.2	36.5	55.4	7.7	0.5

Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	District	54.5	36.6	9.0	0.0	63.4	32.4	4.1	0.0	56.6	35.9	7.6	0.0
	State	40.3	42.8	14.7	2.1	46.7	41.2	10.9	1.2	40.0	46.1	12.1	1.8
Non-IEP	District	8.4	44.0	42.3	5.2	8.0	55.3	34.6	2.2	7.5	54.3	34.5	3.6
	State	4.5	33.6	48.7	13.1	6.6	36.9	46.1	10.4	5.0	40.0	43.8	11.2

Grade 11 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	District	22.2	48.9	27.4	1.5	21.1	57.4	21.1	0.4	20.4	59.6	19.1	0.9
	State	16.3	50.4	30.2	3.0	21.6	52.1	24.8	1.5	18.0	58.2	22.1	1.7
Not Eligible	District	6.8	36.4	49.0	7.9	9.9	46.1	40.5	3.5	7.8	43.0	43.6	5.6
	State	4.8	27.3	51.8	16.0	6.1	30.5	50.3	13.1	4.6	32.6	48.7	14.1

ILLINOIS ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (IAA)

The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate. The table below presents the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels.

- Level 1 -- Entry - Students do not demonstrate knowledge and skills in the subject through links to the Illinois Learning Standards.
- Level 2 -- Foundational- Students demonstrate emerging knowledge and skills in the subject as linked to the Illinois Learning Standards. Students exhibit an ability to reproduce knowledge and skills.
- Level 3 -- Satisfactory - Students demonstrate basic knowledge and skills in the subject through links to the Illinois Learning Standards. Students exhibit an ability to associate their knowledge and skills.
- Level 4 -- Mastery - Students demonstrate knowledge and skills in the subject through links to the Illinois Learning Standards. Students exhibit the ability to apply their knowledge and skills.

Grade 11**Grade 11 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District	26.7	26.7	40.0	6.7	40.0	26.7	33.3	0.0	26.7	13.3	33.3	26.7
State	13.1	17.4	30.8	38.8	15.8	13.9	43.7	26.7	11.4	13.2	28.4	47.0

Grade 11 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male													
District													
State	13.7	17.8	29.7	38.8	16.3	14.0	41.7	28.1	11.0	13.8	29.0	46.2	
Female													
District													
State	11.5	16.4	32.5	39.7	14.2	13.4	47.2	25.2	11.2	11.7	28.0	49.0	

Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White													
District													
State	11.0	16.6	28.9	43.6	13.5	13.4	45.1	28.0	9.7	11.9	28.1	50.3	
Black													
District													
State	15.4	18.0	33.2	33.4	18.8	13.8	43.5	23.8	13.3	13.7	30.8	42.3	
Hispanic													
District													
State	14.6	19.2	34.1	32.1	17.2	15.8	40.0	27.0	11.6	16.8	28.4	43.2	
Asian/Pacific Islander													
District													
State	14.9	12.8	34.0	38.3	19.1	4.3	46.8	29.8	19.1	6.4	25.5	48.9	
Native American													
District													
State													
Multiracial/Ethnic													
District													
State	9.7	19.4	19.4	51.6	3.2	22.6	38.7	35.5	6.5	12.9	19.4	61.3	

2009 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) INFORMATION

Is this district making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this district making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this district been identified for District Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?		Yes
2009-10 Federal Improvement Status	Corrective Action Year 3	
2009-10 State Improvement Status	Academic Watch Status Year 3	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		70.0			70.0			90.0		78.0	
All	98.9	Yes	98.9	Yes	42.6		No	32.8		No			76.7	No
White	98.9	Yes	98.9	Yes	63.5	66.2	No	53.3	62.3	No			89.0	
Black	98.7	Yes	98.7	Yes	27.7	41.0	No	16.5	34.1	No			71.6	
Hispanic	98.9	Yes	98.9	Yes	32.1	39.3	No	23.9	42.9	No			68.3	
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities	96.5	Yes	96.5	Yes	12.8	25.0	No	6.7	20.0	No			67.0	
Economically Disadvantaged	98.9	Yes	98.9	Yes	29.9	39.4	No	22.7	38.4	No			81.5	

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.

2. At least 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions.***

3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.

4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 78% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2008.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 70.0% or above are not printed.

***Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

FEDERAL SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS

Below is a list of the Title I funded schools in the district that are in Federal School Improvement Status as defined by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Number of schools in this district: 2
Number of Title I schools: 2
Number of Title I schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 2
Percent of schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 100.0%

School ID	School Name	Years in School Improvement
560992040170001	Joliet Central High School	7
560992040170003	Joliet West High School	7

2009 DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY CLASSIFICATION

The Differentiated Accountability Classification for the district is:	Comprehensive
Is this district making AYP in the "ALL" subgroup in reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in the "ALL" subgroup in math?	No

In 2008, the Illinois State Board of Education was one of 6 states to be chosen by the US Department of Education to participate on the Differentiated Accountability Pilot Program. The Differentiated Accountability classification applies only to districts in federal improvement status that do not make AYP.

The classification is a descriptor (i.e., focused or comprehensive) that is added to a district's improvement status. Current Title I requirements do not change. The classification assists in distinguishing between districts that need focused supports verses more comprehensive interventions.

If a district does make AYP in ALL-student group in both reading and math, this district will be classified as a focused district; otherwise, the district will be identified as a comprehensive district.